



Improvement Project Update

Kenyon-Wanamingo Tier 1-3
Improvement Project: Year 3



Prepared by
Dr. Weston Johnson

Table of Contents

1	aLEARNcoach: KW Tier 1-3 Intervention (Year 3)	8	KW Dyslexia Risk: Longitudinal Data
3	Learning to Read: K-2 Data	9	Impact Beyond Foundational Reading
6	Dyslexia Risk: K-2 Data	10	KW Impact Beyond Foundational Reading: Longitudinal Data
7	KW Reading Proficiency: Longitudinal Data		



KW Tier 1–3 Intervention

aLEARNcoach Foundational Rdg. Inter.

Description:

The project is designed to supplement existing instruction, support teachers with implementation, align instruction across each tier of support, and form parent partnerships through the GCED Reading Center.

Classroom Instructional Time for Tier 1 Implementation

15-20 minutes on average.

Goal:

Increase grade-level foundational reading outcomes to 80% foundational reading proficiency.

- Primary indicators of proficiency are FastBridge Learning benchmark targets using earlyReading in Grades K-1 (fall) and CBMreading in Grades 1(winter)-5.
- Grade 5 outcome target for oral reading fluency is 150 words correct per minute with 98% accuracy, indicating minimum foundational reading proficiency.

Dyslexia Risk:

- Dyslexia occurs both along a normal distribution of scores (Shaywitz et al., 1992) and across a spectrum of severity.
- A broad range of dyslexia prevalence is between 5%-17% of students (Shaywitz & Shaywitz, 2003).
- Dyslexia risk reported here is only based on a single measure of foundational reading using the 15th %ile as a broad range dyslexia screening criteria and matching FastBridge Learning's High Risk category.
- The use of dyslexia "risk" in this report indicates: a) the likelihood of significant, on-going challenges with learning to read and impact across content areas and b) varying severity of dyslexia.

Note: For evaluating individual student dyslexia characteristics, it is best practice to use multiple measures and monitor response to intervention.

KW Tier 1–3 Intervention

aLEARNcoach Foundational Rdg. Inter.


KW Current Ranking		
<p>Grade K 1/2 Year of aLEARNcoach</p> <p>3rd (out of 6 schools)</p> <p>70% Current Proficiency</p>	<p>Grade 1 1.5 Years of aLEARNcoach</p> <p>1st (out of 6 schools)</p> <p>65% Current Proficiency</p>	<p>Grade 2 2.5 Years of aLEARNcoach</p> <p>1st (out of 6 schools)</p> <p>68% Current Proficiency</p>
KW Proficiency Prior to Intervention		
<p>34% '21 Winter Proficiency Nat'l Avg. 60%</p>	<p>37% '22 Winter Proficiency Nat'l Avg. 60%</p>	<p>50% '23 Winter Proficiency Nat'l Avg. 60%</p>

Kenyon-Wanamingo consistently ranked in the bottom half of our six member districts on standardized reading assessments before implementing interventions.

However, with the integration of the aLEARNcoach Foundational Reading Intervention across Tiers 1 through 3, Kenyon-Wanamingo now consistently ranks in the top half of our member districts on standardized reading assessments.

Learning to Read

Grade K to Grade 2 (2.5 Years of aLEARNcoach)



Describing Proficiency Levels


- 40% of students will learn to read with most methods of reading instruction.
- 60% of students on average will learn to read.
- Achieving 75%-85% of students will require differentiated, systematic, and explicit instruction.
- Achieving **above 75%-85%** of students will require intensified, tiered intervention support, because the remaining **15-25%** of students will encounter learning to read as the most difficult challenge of their life.

Learn to Read (LTR) Proficiency References

Young, N. (2017). The Ladder of Reading. Retrieved from <https://www.nancyyoung.ca/ladder-of-reading-and-writing>.
 Lyon, R. (1998). Reid Lyon's Testimony to the US House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Education Reform.
 Lyon, R. (1998) Overview of NICHD reading and literacy initiatives, U.S. Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources, United States Congress, Congressional Printing Office.
 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000). Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction (NIH Publication No. 00-4769), U.S. Government Printing Office.

Learning to Read

Grade K to Grade 1 (1.5 Years of aLEARNcoach)



Describing Proficiency Levels


- 40% of students will learn to read with most methods of reading instruction.
- 60% of students on average will learn to read.
- Achieving 75%-85% of students will require differentiated, systematic, and explicit instruction.
- Achieving **above 75%-85%** of students will require intensified, tiered intervention support, because the remaining **15-25%** of students will encounter learning to read as the most difficult challenge of their life.

Learn to Read (LTR) Proficiency References

Young, N. (2017). The Ladder of Reading. Retrieved from <https://www.nancyyoung.ca/ladder-of-reading-and-writing>.
 Lyon, R. (1998). Reid Lyon's Testimony to the US House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Education Reform.
 Lyon, R. (1998) Overview of NICHD reading and literacy initiatives, U.S. Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources, United States Congress, Congressional Printing Office.
 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000). Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction (NIH Publication No. 00-4769), U.S. Government Printing Office.

Learning to Read

Grade K (New Cohort)



Describing Proficiency Levels

- **40%** of students will learn to read with most methods of reading instruction.
- **60%** of students on average will learn to read.
- Achieving **75%-85%** of students will require differentiated, systematic, and explicit instruction.
- Achieving **above 75%-85%** of students will require intensified, tiered intervention support, because the remaining **15-25%** of students will encounter learning to read as the most difficult challenge of their life.

Learn to Read (LTR) Proficiency References

Young, N. (2017). The Ladder of Reading. Retrieved from <https://www.nancyyoung.ca/ladder-of-reading-and-writing>.




Lyon, R. (1998). Reid Lyon's Testimony to the US House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Education Reform.

Lyon, R. (1998) Overview of NICHD reading and literacy initiatives, U.S. Senate Committee on Labor and Human Resources, United States Congress, Congressional Printing Office.

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000). Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction (NIH Publication No. 00-4769), U.S. Government Printing Office.




KW Reading Proficiency

Longitudinal Data



KW Dyslexia Risk


Longitudinal Data




KW Impact Beyond Foundational Rdg.

Longitudinal Data

Grade 2 Broad Rdg.



Grade 2 Broad Math



Kenyon-Wanamingo's foundational reading project has not only improved foundational reading but broad reading and broad math skills.

This is in line with educational research findings.

**For inquiries,
contact us.**



Website:

www.gced.k12.mn.us/readingcenter

Phone:

651-388-4441

